

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,851	01/25/2001	Timo Saarnimo	208285	5503
21831	7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
	OCK SCHORR AND S	PAN, YUWEN		
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/769,851	SAARNIMO, TIMO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yuwen Pan	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	arch 2006.					
·= · · · · · ·						
· <u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/769,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. The applicant argues that it is not obvious to combine the teachings of Itakura, Bolanos, and Kita. The examiner respectfully disagrees because of the following. Itakura's teaching lists all the necessary elements that match up with applicant claimed limitation. Itakura doesn't have a loop antenna consisting of a single loop instead of two loops in which are on top over each other (figure 1 and items 23-25). Bolanos teaches utilizing one single loop antenna for a wearable device. It is obvious that the required space within the wearable device is less for the single loop antenna alone than two single loop antennas that are crowned over each other. Although Bolanos teaches that the single loop antenna is in the vertical position with respect to the circuit board of the wearable device, it is obvious to modify the antenna into coplanar position with the circuit board of the wearable device by in view of Kita or in view of Itakura. Kita shows a loop shaped antenna that parallels with the circuit board (see figure 2-4). Furthermore, Itakura also teaches the loop antennas are parallel with the circuit board. Also, The type of antenna Kita used for its wearable device is irrelevant because Itakura already has the loop antenna. The important part is the position of the antenna within the wearable device. Therefore, at least foregoing reasons, the previous rejections stands.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2618

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itakura et al (US006278873B1) in view of Bolanos et al (US005926144A) and Kita et al (US006825751B1).

Per claim 1, Itakura discloses a wearable device (see figure 2) comprising:

One or more circuit substrates comprising electrically conductive parts being disposed in at least a first plane (see figure 1 and item 9);

A radio unit operating at a radio frequency (see figure 1 and item 15);

A loop antenna coupled to the radio unit (see figure 2 and item 15 and 24), the loop antenna comprising a conductor formed into a loop defining an area and being disposed in a second plane; wherein the electrically conductive parts of at least one of said one ore more circuit substrates substantially act as a ground plane (see figure 2 and item 14) causing a ground plane effect for the loop antenna and such that at least the electrically conductive parts of said at least one circuit substrate are within said area defined by the loop when observed in plan view minimizing the ground plane effect of the electrically conductive parts of said ate least one circuit substrate on the loop antenna (see column 5 and lines 43-67).

Itakura doesn't expressly teach that the loop antenna consisting of a single loop formed and wherein said first plane is substantially coplanar with said plane. Bolanos teaches that that the loop antenna comprises a single loop formed. (see figures 3-6, column 2 and lines 4-column 3 and line 28).

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bolanos with Itakura's device such that the wearable eletronic device would be made much thinner.

Kita teaches said first plane is substantially coplanar with said second plane (see figures 2-4, items 108 and 110, column 7 and line 48-column 8 and line 10).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kita with Itakura's device such that a magnetic flux path in which a magnetic flux passes a side section on the lower face side from the upper face of the case is ensured during data transmission/reception.

Per claim 2, Itakura further discloses the radio unit is mounted on one of said one or more circuit substrates (see figure 1 and item 15).

Per claim 3, Itakura further discloses that said at least one circuit substrate (figure 2 and item 14) is positioned entirely within the area defined by the loop, when said at least one circuit substrate and the loop are observed perpendicularly with respect to the second plane.

Per claim 4, Itakura further discloses that the loop antenna is formed on the periphery of said at least one circuit substrate (see figure 2 and item 24 and 14).

Per claim 5 -7, Itakura further discloses that the loop antenna is coupled to the radio unit via balancing means in which comprises a balancing transformer and conduct between the radio unit and antenna (see figure 1 and items 23a, 24a and 25).

Per claim 8, Bolanos et al discloses that at least 1.8 mm (> or= 1.8mm) is needed between two planes at an operating frequency of 930 MHz (see column 4 and lines 30-45). The wavelength of 930 MHz is about 3 cm. Based on applicant's claim, the maximum vertical distance should be 3mm at an operating frequency of 930 MHz. It is within the range of at least 1.8 mm in which is asserted by Bolanos and the distance between the two planes is adjustable according to the manufacture.

Per claim 13, Itakura further discloses at least one circuit substrate is a printed circuited board (see figure 1 and item 14).

Per claim 14, Itakura further discloses that the radio unit comprise a radio receiver and/or a radio transmitter (see column 6 and lines 22-27).

Per claim 16, Itakura further discloses the wearable device comprises a display unit (see figure 1 and item 13).

Per claim 17, 18, Itakura further discloses the wearable device comprises a watch circuit with computer function (see figure 1 and item 14).

Bolanos et al discloses that at least 1.8 mm (> or= 1.8mm) is needed between two planes at an operating frequency of 930 MHz (see column 4 and lines 30-45). The wavelength of 930 MHz is about 3 cm. Based on applicant's claim, the maximum vertical distance should be 3mm

at an operating frequency of 930 MHz. It is within the range of at least 1.8 mm in which is asserted by Bolanos and the distance between the two planes is adjustable according to the manufacture.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Bolanos with Itakura such that a suitable distance between the planes is set to maximize the effect of antenna.

Per claim 9, Bolanos further discloses the loop antenna is coupled to radio unit via a balancing mean at two separate points located substantially 45-180 degree apart from each other on the conductor loop of the loop antenna wit respect to the center of the conductor loop in order to enable the use of circular polarization (see column 4 and lines 50-65).

Per claims 10, 11, Itakura further discloses that the loop antenna is coupled to the radio unit via balancing means in which comprises a balancing transformer and conduct between the radio unit and antenna (see figure 1 and items 23a, 24a and 25).

Per claim 12, Itakura doesn't disclose the detail about the length of the conductor of the loop antenna is substantially equal to a wavelength corresponding to the radio frequency that the radio unit operates at.

Asano discloses that the length of the conductor of the loop antenna is substantially equal to a wavelength corresponding to the radio frequency that the radio unit operates at (see column 2 and lines 49-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/769,851

Art Unit: 2618

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Page 7

made to combine the teaching of Asano with Itakura's device such that the effective antenna is

maximized.

Per claim 15, Itakura doesn't disclose the radio unit comprises a GPS receiver. The

examiner takes "Official Notice" that is notoriously well known in the art to utilize a GPS

receiver in order to assist the user to locate the present location.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have a GPS receiver to assist the user to locate the present location.

Per claim 19, Itakura doesn't disclose the wearable device comprise a wristwatch housing

of electrically non-conducting material. The examiner takes "Official Notice" that is notoriously

well-known in the art to have non-conducting material for wristwatch housing in order to resist

water or reduce interference with the radio unit.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made

to utilize the non-electrical conducting material with Itakura's device such as plastic material to

resist water and reduce interference with the radio unit within the housing.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 9

Matthew D. Anderson Supervisory Patent Examiner